

## D — Award criteria

### Award criteria

If admissible and eligible, the proposals will be evaluated and ranked against the following **award criteria**<sup>36</sup>, depending on the type of action:

	<b>Excellence</b>	<b>Impact</b>	<b>Quality and efficiency of the implementation</b>
	(The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the description in the work programme)		
<b>Research and innovation actions (RIA)</b>  <b>Innovation actions (IA)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Clarity and pertinence of the project’s objectives, and the extent to which the proposed work is ambitious and goes beyond the state of the art.</b></li> <li>- <b>Soundness of the proposed [for the first stage: overall(*)] methodology,</b> including the underlying concepts, models, assumptions, inter-disciplinary approaches, appropriate consideration of the gender dimension in research and innovation content, and the quality of open science practices, including sharing and management of research outputs and engagement of citizens, civil society</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project.</b></li> <li>- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.</li> <li>- Capacity and role of each participant, and the extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.</li> </ul>

<sup>36</sup> For two-stage submission procedures, only the aspects in bold are considered for the evaluation of first-stage applications. See “Two-stage calls” below in this General Annex.

	<p>and end-users where appropriate.</p> <p>(*) Including all aspects mentioned in the first stage proposal template, which also include the integration of the gender dimension in research and innovation content as well as open science practices.</p>		
<p><b>Coordination and support actions (CSA)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Clarity and pertinence of the project’s objectives.</b></li> <li>- <b>Quality of the proposed coordination and/or support measures, including soundness of methodology.</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project.</b></li> <li>- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.</li> <li>- Capacity and role of each participant, and the extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Programme co-fund actions (CoFund)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clarity and pertinence of the project’s objectives, and the extent to which the proposed work is ambitious, and goes beyond the state of the art.</li> <li>- Soundness of the proposed methodology, including the underlying concepts, models, assumptions, inter-disciplinary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project.</li> <li>- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.</li> <li>- Capacity and role of each participant, and the extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.</li> </ul>